Optimal Timing of Mental Practice on Learning the Volleyball Service Skill
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ABSTRACT
The goal of this study is searching effective time (before, during and after physical practice) for mental practice in learning the Volleyball Service Skill. Forty-five beginner students, aged 12–14 years, with no history of Volleyball Service Skill participated in the study (45 Male ones). Most of them were Secondary school students. All were unable to serve Volleyball correctly. The subjects were divided into the three groups (MP before PP, MP during PP and MP after PP). All subjects performed mental and physical practice in 21 sessions and for 30 minutes per session. The subjects were tested at the end of the 7th, 14th, 21st, and 28th sessions. The Volleyball Serving Test was used for test comparing the rate of learning. The result of this research showed there was significant difference between means of the MP-during-PP group, and the MP-before-PP and the MP-after-PP groups in improving their Volleyball Service skill. Moreover, the MP-before-PP and MP-after-PP groups did not differ from each other concerning the scores. The retention test showed that subjects in the MP-before-PP and the MP-after-PP groups, in contrast to the MP-during-PP group, did not significantly improve their Volleyball Service skill. This study suggested that coaches and P.E teachers apply mental practice (10 minutes) as an essential part in programs and teach the beginners that use mental practice in every time of physical practice (spatially during physical practice).
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INTRODUCTION
The mental imagery refers to a topic in skill learning with throughout the length of history. Richardson (1969) has explained MI as all quasi-sensory or quasi-perceptual experiences that exist in the absence of those stimuli situations that are known to produce real sensory or perceptual experiences (1). Munroe et al. (2000) reported athletes in their study used visual, kinesthetic, auditory, and olfactory sense imagery. Sport psychologists generally recommended that athletes use as many sense modalities as possible in their imagery to increase effectiveness (2-5). A remarkable subcategory of mental imagery is created by motor imagery that refers to the internal reproduction of a particular motor action without any obvious motor output. Mental imagery is a training technique by which motor imagery is used with the intention of improving performance, in other words, it is the imagined practice of a motor act with the particular intention of learning or improving that act (6). An oversized body of investigation provided proof that mental imagery and physical practice of identical movements share similar cerebral substrate, though neural networks aren't entirely overlapping (7-10), and showed the influence of central activations on autonomic effectors throughout imagination (e.g., (11, 12). The fact that imagery and actual practice are mediated by the identical neural mechanisms which is known as the principle of functional equivalence (9, 13, 14), that tends to propose that unless imagery is congruent with physical practice, it'll not be as effective in achieving its desired effects. Over the last twenty years, MI investigation has more specifically been designed to find out why, when, where and how to use imagery, as well as what is imagined (8, 15). Generally, there's compelling proof that MI substantially contributes to enhance motor learning and motor performance (16, 17).

Many studies have shown that imagery can be effective in optimizing the performance of movements in athletes. Moreover, it may facilitate novice learners within the acquisition of new skills (6). Several of those studies have been reviewed by Feltz and Landers (1983) and Driskell et al. (1994). They show that subjects who mentally practiced for a selected task typically displayed less improvement than subjects who trained physically (16, 18). However, compared with control subjects who didn't practice at all, it could be shown that mental training, indeed, facilitated performance. Supported the results of mental practice, some researchers have suggested the use of mental practice in neurological rehabilitation, as it may be a new and cost efficient treatment tool (19-22).

Mental imagery may be an acquainted aspect of most people's everyday experience (23-26). There are many individuals (perhaps found in disproportionate numbers amongst scientists and other intellectuals (23, 24) who say that they seldom, or perhaps never, experience imagery, but, for the immense majority of us, it is a recognized and commonplace feature of our conscious mental lives.

Imagery as a preparatory approach used before to performance has improved on strength task (27, 28), muscular endurance exercise (29, 30), and golf putting (31, 32).

The studies has shown that the elite athletes cited psychological a lot of skills typically as reasons for their successful performances in tournaments. Consistent with that study, imagery was one of the most common mental methods utilized by the taking-part athletes. Athletes report using MI in their daily practicing, however, they use it most in conjunction with competition (33). Coaches typically encourage their athletes to use MI to assist them to learn new skills and to enhance skills as well as improving the skills they already possess (34). Moreover,
imagery is often a key element in the mental training program developed and enforced by sport psychologists (35-37).

The use of MI by athletes has received considerable attention (38). In association with in-depth examination of the character of imagery use in sport, Munro et al. (2000) considered four questions: Where do athletes use MI? When do athletes use imagery? Why do athletes use imagery? What do athletes imagine? Investigation examining the “where” of athletes’ imagery reveals its use in primarily two contexts, tournament and practicing, with imagery being used a lot typically in conjunction with competition than with training (e.g. (39). Athletes, in addition, report using MI outside of those two contexts, including at work, school and home (39), and through sport-injury rehabilitation (40, 41). With respect to the “when” of imagery use, it has been found that mental imagery is utilized most often immediately prior to a competitive event, rather than throughout or after competition (42). When athletes use MI in training, they have an inclination to use it during practice, not prior to or after training (39). Outside of training and game, athletes have reported using MI intermittently during the day, however, most frequently at night just before falling asleep (e.g. (43). The question of “what” athletes imagine has been addressed in many studies (e.g. (15, 44), and refers to the content of an athlete’s image. Munroe et al. (2000) classified the content of athletes’ images in sport underneath various headings. These headings enclosed sessions (e.g. length of time athletes use imagery), effectiveness, surroundings, nature of MI (i.e. positive or negative mental imagery, and also the accuracy of the imagined event or behavior) and sort of imagery (e.g. visual, auditory).

The first aim of the present study is to survey the effect of mental imagery on the learning Volleyball Service Skill, and the second aim is to focus on the optimal timing of mental practice (before, during and after physical practice) in the learning Volleyball Service Skill, and finally, the third aim is to investigate the effect of mental practice timing (before, during and after physical practice) on the retention of Volleyball Service Skill.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subject. Forty five male beginner students, aged 12–14 years, with no history of Volleyball Service Skill participated in the study. Most of them were secondary school students. All were unable to serve Volleyball correctly. All subjects gave written informed consent.

Procedure. The experiment started with a pre-training measurement, 1 week before the training sessions started. The ability to Serve Volleyball voluntarily, as well as the imagery ability were determined. The ability of mental imagery was assessed by the Vividness of Movement Imagery Questionnaire (45). The VMIQ consists of 24 items reflecting the internal standpoint and 24 items reflecting the external standpoint. The internal standpoint refers to the ability of a subject to imagine that he performs the movement, in contrast with the external standpoint, which refers to the ability of a subject to imagine that somebody else is performing the movement. In the present study only the internal imagery items were employed. A score of 72 or less on the internal imagery items was required to be included. The used cut-off point was based on the following rationale: all 24 items for the internal standpoint had to be scored with a 3 (a moderate capacity to imagine the performance of a movement), a 2 (a good capacity to imagine movement performance), or a 1 (an excellent imagination of the movement performance as lively as actual performance). Scores of 4 (a vague and unclear image) or 5 (no image at all) were not accepted.
The included subjects were randomly assigned to one of three groups:
1) A group (n=15) receiving mental imagery before physical practice (MI-before-PP);
2) A group (n=15) receiving mental practice during physical practice (MI-during-PP); and
3) A group (n=15) receiving mental practice after physical practice (MI-after-PP).

All the group’s training sessions were 21. The time of training for every session was 30 minutes: preparing and warm-up 10 minutes, mental practice 10 minutes and physical practice 10 minutes. The main factor which is different among these three groups is the arrangement and the physical and mental practice.

The group one received mental practice before PP, the subjects warmed up for 10 minutes, and then performed mental practice for 10 minutes and finally they executed Volleyball Service for 10 minutes. The second group received mental practice during PP, the participants warmed up for 10 minutes, then they executed Volleyball Service for 5 minutes, at once they performed mental practice for 10 minutes and finally they executed Volleyball Service for 5 minutes. The third group received mental practice after PP, the subjects warmed up for 10 minutes, then they executed Volleyball Service for 10 minutes and finally the subjects performed mental practice for 10 minutes. All of the factors such as the time, intensity, the period of training for all of the three groups were the same.

**Measures.** To collect information in the research, AAHPERD Volleyball Serving Test was used. In this experiment we divided the Volleyball court into 4 marked parts in which the grades varied from 1 to 4. The experiment was done in a form that every subject was allowed 10 services among which all of the obtained grades were collected which were considered as that subject’s grade. The ball which went out of the court or was hit the net, not only did cause the subject to lose grade but also caused him lose one turnout of 10. (Fig.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>150 cm – 2 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>450 cm 1 score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 cm – 2 score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig1. Division Volleyball court (AAHPERD Test)**

To evaluate the subject’s learning progress in the end of the 7th, 14th, 21st session, we tested them and by comparing the T1, T2, T3 of the triple groups we obtained the level of their progress and by comparing T3 of the groups, we could determine the best time of application as well as doing the mental imagery. To evaluate rate of retention of the subject’s learning, after one week without training (28th session), we tested them and by comparing T4 of the triple groups, we could determine the best time of application as well as doing the mental imagery for retention of skills.

**Statistical Analysis.** A one-way ANOVA was used to determine whether groups differed from each other in improvement of Volleyball Service Skill. Finally, post hoc multiple comparisons assessed which groups differed significantly from each other (Tukey test). All tests were performed with a 95% reliability interval.

**RESULTS**

The result of this research showed a significant difference in improvement between groups. Post hoc multiple comparisons showed that subjects in the
MP-during-PP group scored higher on the measurements after the training 21 sessions than subjects in other groups. They improved significantly more than the subjects in the other groups (P<0.05). Table 1 shows the mean scores of the groups (Table 1).

Table 1. Scores of AAHPERD Test as Mean ± SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test1</td>
<td>20.33 ± 4.54</td>
<td>28.46 ± 4.08</td>
<td>19.2 ± 3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test2</td>
<td>25.26 ± 3.9</td>
<td>37.46 ± 3.56</td>
<td>24.35 ± 4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test3</td>
<td>33.53 ± 4.11</td>
<td>46.33 ± 3.19</td>
<td>31.53 ± 4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test4</td>
<td>33.53 ± 3.71</td>
<td>41.51 ± 3.91</td>
<td>27.21 ± 3.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In fact, there was a significant difference between means of the MP-during-PP group, and the MP-before-PP and the MP-after-PP group in improving their Volleyball Service skill. Moreover, the MP-before-PP and MP-A-PP groups did not differ from each other concerning the scores. The retention test showed that subjects in the MP-before-PP and the MP-after-PP group, in contrast with the MP-during-PP group, did not significantly improve their Volleyball Service skill (Fig. 2).


DISCUSSION
This study was devised to investigate the optimal timing of mental practice in learning volleyball service skill. We hypothesized that combining of mental training and physical practice would also contribute to enhancing motor performance especially when we use mental practice during physical practice. First, all groups enhanced their motor performance following training, in comparison to the pre-test. The participants engaged in physical and mental training performed Volleyball Service better following practice. There is now ample evidence that motor performance and MI share common neural substrate, even though the activated networks are not totally overlapping (8, 46-49). Accordingly, and as MI involves the cerebral structures mediating the preparation, the execution and the control of the actual movement, the present results support the principle of functional equivalence stating that MI may improve motor performance in the same way as PP (for reviews, see (8, 14, 18).

The results of this research showed that Subjects in the MP-during-PP group (receiving mental imagery during physical practice), in contrast to the MP-before-PP (receiving mental imagery before physical practice) and MP-after-PP (receiving mental imagery after physical practice) group as well, were able to improve their capacity of serving Volleyball Skill after the 21 training sessions. Post hoc (Tukey test) multiple comparisons showed that, compared with the MP-before-PP and MP-after-PP group, improvement was significantly higher in the MP-during-PP group. However, In spite of seemingly less improvement in the MP-after-PP group, the difference between the MP-before-PP and MP-after-PP groups was not significant. The retention test showed that subjects in the MP-before-PP and the MP-after-PP groups, in contrast to the MP-during-PP group, did not significantly differ from the rate of retention of their Volleyball Service skill. Moreover, the MP-before-PP and MP-after-PP group did not differ from each other concerning the scores.

With relation to the “when” of mental practice use, it has been found that imagery is utilized frequently in real time before a competitive event, instead of throughout or after competition (42). When athletes use mental practice in training, they have a tendency to use it during practice, not prior to or after practice (39), that the results of the current study are in line with the findings of Salmon et al.,( 1994). In fact, mental practice is a training technique by which motor imagery is employed with the intention of enhancing performance, in other words, it's the imagined practice of a motor act with the particular intention of acquisition or improving that act. Many studies have indicated that mental imagery can be effective in optimizing the performance of movements in athletes. Besides, it may facilitate novice learners within the acquisition of new skills. Several of those studies are reviewed by Feltz and Landers (1983) and Driskell et al. (1994). They illustrated that subjects who mentally trained for a particular task sometimes displayed less improvement than people who trained physically. However, compared with control group who did not practice at all, it could be shown that mental imagery, indeed, expedited performance. Supported the results of mental imagery, some researchers have suggested the utilization of mental imagery in neurological rehabilitation, as it may be a novel and cost-efficient treatment tool (19-22). Despite an established research tradition, the theoretical basis for the results of mental imagery remains theoretic. Many theories have been suggested to explain the mechanisms by which mental imagery may enhance motor learning.
The Effect Of Mental Imagery Timing on Learning


CONCLUSION
Finally, with regard to the nowadays research it is proposed that coaches and P.E teachers apply mental practice (10 minutes) as an essential part in programs and teach the beginners to use mental practice in every time of physical practice (spatially during physical practice). It is important to do the practice that leads to progress.
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زمان بهینه تمرین ذهني در یادگیري مهارت سرويس و لیبال

مجس افروزه، مهدي سهراهي، حمیدرضا ظاهری، علی افروزه

چکیده

هدف این پژوهش، تعیین اثر زمان تمرین ذهني قبل در حین و بعد از تمرین عملی در یادگیري مهارت سرويس والليبال می‌باشد. تعداد 45 دانش‌آموز مبتدای 12-16 ساله مقطع راهنمایی، بدون هیچ سابقه بازی والیبال، به عنوان نمونه آماری انتخاب شدند. هیچ یک از آزمودنی‌ها قادر نبود سرويس والليبال را به طور صحیح اجرا کند. شرکت‌کنندگان در سه گروه تمرين ذهني قبل از تمرین عملی، تمرين ذهني در حین تمرین عملی و تمرين ذهني بعد از تمرین عملی تقسيم شدند. آزمودنی‌ها تمرين ذهني قبل و عملی را در 21 جلسه و هر جلسه 30 دقیقه اجرا کردند. شرکت‌کنندگان در جلسات گرفته، چهاردهم، پیست ویژه، و پیست ویرانش مورد آماده‌سازی قرار گرفتند. آزمون استاندارد سرويس والليبال جهت آزمودن میزان یادگیري سرويس والليبال مورد استفاده قرار گرفت. نتایج تحقیق نشان داد تفاوت معنی‌داری بین میانگین نمرات گروه تمرين ذهني قبل در حين تمرین عملی و گروه تمرين ذهني قبل و بعد از تمرین عملی در تقویه مهارت سرويس والليبال وجود داشت. اگرچه تفاوت معناداری بین میانگین دو گروه تمرين ذهني قبل و بعد از تمرین عملی وجود نداشت. آزمون یاداداري نشان داد كه شرکت‌كننگان در گروه‌هاي تمرين ذهني قبل و بعد از تمرین عملی در مقایسه با گروه تمرين ذهني حين تمرين عملی پیشرفته معناداري در مهارت سرويس والليبال تداشتند. این مطالعه بیشتر ما کن مربیان و به ویژه معلمان تربیت بدني تمرين ذهني را به عنوان بيض اساسی برنامه‌هاي آموزش خود، به ویژه در خلال تمرین عملی، جهت آموزش به مدتیان مورد توجه قرار دهند.

واژگان کلیدی: تمرین ذهني، زمان بهينه، تست افرود سرويس والليبال، آزمون یاداداري
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Short-Term Interval Training Courses on Fitness and Weight Loss