

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# Patterns of Physical Activity and Its Impact on the Quality of Life: A Structural Equation Modeling Analysis

<sup>1</sup>Ali Maksum\*

<sup>1</sup>Postgraduate school of Sport Science, Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia.

Submitted 29 June 2021; Accepted in final form 14 July 2021.

## ABSTRACT

**Background.** In many countries, including Indonesia, the tendency for non-communicable diseases is increasing. Consequently, health costs must be paid by the state continues to increase as well. People's lifestyles, including due to lack of physical activity, are thought to have contributed significantly to the problem. **Objectives:** This study aims to examine the impact of physical activity on quality of life which is reflected in three main indicators, namely health, psychological and social aspects. **Methods.** The study was conducted in the city of Surabaya and its surroundings with a total of 490 participants, consisting of 245 men and 245 women with an average age of  $45.4 \pm 15.4$  years. Data on physical activity and quality of life were collected by questionnaire and analyzed using structural equation modeling. **Results.** The test results of the model proved that the value of chi-square= 8.259 with  $p= 0.409$ , RMSE = .008, NFI = .992, and CFI = 1.0. This means that the model was compatible with the data. The model explained that physical activity has a significant effect on the quality of life. **Conclusion.** People who exercise regularly are better able to cope with stress, have a lower risk of illness, and have higher pro-social behavior. Therefore, it needs serious efforts from stakeholders, especially the government to create an ecosystem that allows the growth of movement culture in the community.

**KEYWORDS:** *Participation, Physical Activity, Quality of Life, Structural Equation Modeling*

## INTRODUCTION

Non-communicable diseases such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and heart attacks are a global phenomenon, not only in developing countries but also in developed countries (1). An unhealthy lifestyle is suspected as a trigger for this main problem, such as smoking, alcohol, instant foods with minimal fiber, and avoiding physical activity. World Health Organization (WHO) cites that non-communicable diseases will be of global concern through the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) program as global commitments are weak, both in the form of legislation, budgeting, and implementation (2). In 2014, WHO conducted a global survey and made

a profile report for each country related to non-communicable diseases. For Indonesia, WHO noted that non-communicable diseases - such as heart disease, stroke, acute breathing, and diabetes mellitus - caused 71% of deaths. The increase occurred from year to year. In 1995, the death rate due to non-communicable diseases was 41.7%, in 2001 it was 49.9%, and in 2007 it was 59.5% (3). From a gender perspective, cardiovascular disease, chronic breathing, and cancer are more common in men than women. For type 2 diabetes, women suffer more than men. This report also stated as risk factors in adults due to certain conditions, namely smoking habits, in

---

\*. Corresponding Author:

Ali Maksum, Ph.D

E-mail: alimaksum@unesa.ac.id

men by 67% and women by 3%; blood pressure that exceeds the standard, in men is 29.1% and in women is 26.6%; and obesity in men is 2.6% and in women is 6.9%.

Related to type 2 diabetes in Indonesia, some special notes need to be explained. Type 2 diabetes mellitus is the third leading cause of death after stroke and coronary heart disease. The prevalence of diabetes has increased from time to time. In 2007 the prevalence was 5.7% and became 6.9% in 2013. Data from the 2017 International Diabetes Federation stated that Indonesia was ranked 6th in the world with 10.3 million sufferers (4). WHO estimates that, if this dangerous and deadly disease is not dealt with seriously, then by 2030 the number will increase dramatically to 21.3 million people (2). There are unfavorable conditions for Indonesia because of the habit of excessive rice consumption. This is because rice is the worst type of carbohydrate compared to corn, cassava, and potatoes. Indonesia's per capita rice consumption is 124 kg/year, the highest in the world. While Thailand and Malaysia are 80 kg/year, China 60 kg/year, Japan 50 kg/year, and Korea 40 kg/year.

The most obvious impact related to this problem is the swelling of the National Health Insurance (BPJS Kesehatan) budget far above normal. Participant fees received by the BPJS Kesehatan cannot cover the budget that must be spent to pay for the sick so they tend to continue to lose money (5). In 2019, the BPJS Kesehatan is estimated to have a budget deficit of 32 trillion. The biggest portion of the budget is spent on non-communicable diseases, such as heart, kidney, diabetes, and stroke. A 1-year evaluation of the implementation of national health insurance shows that the number of sick Indonesian population reaches 65%, far exceeding the normal standard of 10-15% of the total population. This disease is generally caused by unhealthy lifestyles, including due to lack of movement. An imbalance between food intake and energy burned or expelled can cause obesity, which is a simple indicator of the greater size of the abdominal circumference. People who are obese have the potential to suffer from cardiovascular disease, a disease related to the heart and blood vessels (6, 7). Such conditions are certainly very detrimental to the country in the long run. Therefore, it needs to be rethought whether the treatment strategy is curative by providing as many health services as possible or is promotive

and preventive by providing adequate infrastructure so that the community can carry out physical activities optimally so that the number of sick people decreases.

Besides physical health problems, mental problems are also crucial. Referring to WHO data, around 35 million people are suffering from depression, 60 million people are affected by bipolar disorder, 21 million people contracting schizophrenia, and 47.5 million suffering from dementia (8). How about Indonesia? The results of basic health research in 2013 showed that 14 million (6%) Indonesians aged 15 years and over had symptoms of depression and psychiatric disorders. Meanwhile, severe mental disorders such as schizophrenia reach 400 thousand people or 1.7 per thousand populations (9). Along with the increasingly complex life problems, including VUCA (volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity) which have recently been widely discussed, an increase in the number of people with psychiatric disorders will burden the country's finances and have implications for decreasing productivity in the long term.

The basic question is, how does the contribution of physical activity in the context of providing solutions to overcome a number of these problems? The results prove that increased participation in sports can reduce health costs (10, 11). Research in several countries is more advanced, so it can calculate the economic burden that must be borne by the state due to physical inactivity. In the UK, direct costs to be borne by the government for treating overweight, obesity and morbidity ranged from £479.3 million in 1981 to £4.2 billion in 2007 (12). Estimated indirect costs, ie costs arising from the impact of obesity on a wider range such as loss of productivity, costs to be borne range between £2.6 billion and £15.8 billion. Model projections show that indirect costs could amount to £27 billion in 2015. In 2006/2007, obesity-related illnesses are estimated to cost £148 million for hospitalization. In Scotland, the total social costs for obesity and overweight in 2007/2008 were estimated to be between £600 million and £1.4 billion. Research in the United States related to direct health costs that must be borne by the state due to physical inactivity of \$29 billion in 1987 and to \$76.6 billion in 2000 (13). Physical inactivity is also strongly suspected of having a risk of coronary heart disease (14) and is estimated to save \$5.6 billion in cost if 10% of adults in America engage

in regular physical activity (13). Studies in China that try to calculate the total economic burden of physical inactivity, which combines medical and non-medical costs of five non-communicable diseases show that physical inactivity contributes 12% to 19% to the risk of coronary heart disease, stroke, hypertension, cancer, and type 2 diabetes. Physical inactivity imposes a serious economic burden on the country because it consumes more than 15% of the country's medical and non-medical annual costs (15).

There is a positive relationship between involvement in physical activity and one's health condition, including psychological health (16-18). Those who are actively involved in physical activities show a higher level of self-confidence than those who are not involved. When teenagers are involved in competitive sports, it turns out they show a more positive self-concept than those who are not involved in competitive sports. Positive self-concept appears not only in the physical dimension, but also socially; and what is even more impressive is its influence on intellectual development. Exercise can also increase stress resistance (6, 7). The results of the study prove that adolescents involved in physical activity are more resilient and able to cope with stressors from their environment. As it is understood that the lives of adolescents are very vulnerable to psycho-social problems, such as the temptation to use drugs, alcohol, promiscuity, and other social ills.

Although there have been many studies examining the effect of physical activity on health and psychological aspects, there is not enough research that examines these variables simultaneously. Research related to the quality of life of individuals is more approached from a disease and health perspective (19, 20). This study aims to develop a theoretical model that explains the simultaneous relationship, both directly and indirectly, between physical activities that is reflected in frequency, intensity, and duration with the quality of life reflected in medical symptoms, psychological well-being, and pro-social behavior. A quality life is the ultimate goal of every development, including part of the target of sustainable development goals. Therefore, the results of this study are beneficial for the government and the community to find effective, simple, and inexpensive ways to achieve quality of life. From the perspective of theory development, this study explains how to obtain

quality life from the dimensions of physical activity.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Participants.** This research was conducted with a survey approach, which is collecting information from the population-based on several samples using a questionnaire. The participants of this study were 490 people from Surabaya and its surroundings, consisting of 50% men and 50% women. They are aged between 18-78 years with an average age of 45.4 years (SD= 15,4). In terms of employment, most participants (36.94%) work in the informal labor sector, followed by corporate employees (22.44%), government employees (13.9%), traders (13.47%), and students (9.6%). With such a composition, at least it can represent the type of work that is in the community.

**Instruments and Procedures.** Data were collected using a questionnaire. There are four questionnaires used in this study, namely a questionnaire to measure physical activity (IPAQ), a questionnaire to measure psychological well-being (Index of Well-Being and General Affect), a questionnaire to measure medical symptoms and vitality (WHOQOL), and an instrument to measure pro-social behavior (Prosoc Scale). In measuring physical activity, there are at least three aspects that need attention, namely frequency, intensity, and duration (21, 22). The three principles are then developed into question items. For the frequency aspect, the score is 0 for uncertain activities; a value of 1 for one time per week; a value of 2.5 for two or three times per week; a value of 5 for four-six times per week; and a value of 7 for every day of the week. For the intensity aspect, a value of 3 for those who do ordinary activities and a value of 5 for those who do it panting. For the duration aspect, a value of 3 for those who do activities less than 30' and a value of 5 for those who do activities more than 30'. The trial examination results show that this instrument has a high enough validity. Using the Items-Total Correlations analysis technique, the validity coefficient ranges from 0.42 to 0.78.

Psychological dimensions were measured using the Index of Well-Being and General Affect instruments from Campbell et al., (23) with modifications. The instrument measures the general psychological condition of a person in life, which includes aspects of loneliness, agitation, and satisfaction. This instrument

consists of 9 items with responses "yes" and "no". The aspect of solitude is in items 4 and 5; the anxiety aspects are in items 6, 7, and 8; and satisfaction aspects are in items 1, 2, 3, and 9. The results of the test instrument show that by using the Items-Total Correlations analysis technique, the validity of items is 0.46 to 0.66. While using Cronbach's Alpha, a reliability coefficient of 0.75 was obtained. Health dimensions are measured based on indicators of medical symptoms and vitality. Medical symptoms are related to how far the respondent experiences minor health problems such as insomnia, fatigue, and so on. While vitality refers to the understanding to what extent the respondent shows "excitement" in carrying out daily activities (24). There are 10 items in total, consisting of 5 items about medical symptoms and 5 items about vitality with "yes", "no", and "sometimes" responses. From the test results the instrument shows that by using the Items-Total Correlations analysis technique, a validity coefficient of 0.35 to 0.67 is obtained. Meanwhile, by using Cronbach's Alpha, the reliability coefficient is 0.64.

Instruments for measuring social behavior are developed based on social learning theory (25, 26). The instrument was designed in the form of events that measure the quality of social behavior possessed by respondents. The higher the respondent's score in an event, the stronger the respondent's social behavior. The instrument consists of 4 items that represent aspects of sharing, cooperation, helping behavior, and altruism. From the test results, the instrument shows that by using the Items-Total Correlations analysis technique the validity coefficient is 0.32 to 0.76. Meanwhile, using Cronbach's Alpha, the reliability coefficient is 0.42.

**Data Analysis.** Data analysis was performed using the Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) method, a confirmatory multivariate statistical technique to examine the structural relations of some variables simultaneously. Statistically, SEM is a combination of regression analysis and factor analysis. In SEM, there are two types of variables that are commonly used, namely the latent variable and the observed variable. Latent variables, often also called factors, are abstract constructs that can only be measured indirectly through their effect on the observed variables. While the observed variables are variables that can be measured empirically which are also called indicators. In causal logic, latent variables are

called exogenous or independent variables, while observed variables are called endogenous or dependent variables. The final result of SEM is a theoretical model, which is a summary of theories that illustrate the interrelation between variables that are normally expressed in mathematical formulations. A model is said to be good if it can explain the actual phenomenon with a small error rate.

In SEM, there are two interrelated stages. First, test the truth of the model by seeing whether there are significant differences between the model and data. Second, if there is a match between the model and the data (the difference is not significant), then the analysis can proceed with testing the structural relationship in the model. To test the suitability of the theoretical model with the data using the goodness of fit test. If the null hypothesis is accepted, which means there is no difference between the model and the data, then the theoretical model proposed is fit to explain the data. If an appropriate model has been obtained, then each hypothesis can be tested which shows the impact of a variable on other variables. The testing criteria are based on a small Chi-square with  $P \geq 0.05$ , Goodness of Fit Index (GFI)  $\geq 0.90$ , Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index (AGFI)  $\geq 0.90$ , and Root Mean Square Error of Approval (RMSEA)  $\leq 0.08$ .

## RESULTS

Based on the results of data analysis, in general, the level of physical activity of the people of Surabaya and surrounding areas is still low. The average frequency score is 1.83, the average intensity score is 2.16, and the average duration score is 2.70. There are no differences in physical activity patterns between men and women (Table 1). The difference only occurs in the aspect of frequency, in male respondents the average frequency score is 1.98, while in female respondents it is 1.68. This shows that there has been a significant development in terms of equality of participation between men and women in physical activity. This condition is different from the past 20 years. Our research shows that women's participation rates are far lower than those of men. After we conducted a treasure study, this was caused by women's perceptions of reproductive health problems when exercising, the existence of discriminatory treatment from physical education teachers, lack of social support, gender factors, and limited time for physical activities.

**Table 1. The Differences in Physical Activity between Male and Female**

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
<b>Frequency</b>					
Between Groups	11.480	1	11.480	4.306	0.038
Within Groups	1300.961	488	2.666		
Total	1312.441	489			
<b>Intensity</b>					
Between Groups	.000	1	0.000	0.000	1.000
Within Groups	789.584	488	1.618		
Total	789.584	489			
<b>Time</b>					
Between Groups	0.008	1	0.008	0.002	0.961
Within Groups	1624.490	488	3.329		
Total	1624.498	489			

**Table 2. Effect Size in Adjusted Structural Equation Model**

Dependent Variables	Independent Variables					
	Physical Activity			Quality of Life		
	DE	IE	TE	DE	IE	TE
Quality of life	0.35** (.03)	-	0.35**(.03)	-	-	-
Pro-social behavior	-	0.07* (.08)	0.07*(.08)	0.19*(1.2)	-	0.19*(1.2)
Medical symptoms	-	0.23** (.05)	0.23**(.05)	0.65**(.27)	-	0.65**(.27)
Psychological well-being	-	0.17* (.01)	0.17*(.01)	0.50**(.10)	-	0.50**(.10)
Frequency	0.53** (1.9)	-	0.53**(.19)	-	-	-
Intensity	0.89** (.34)	-	0.89**(.34)	-	-	-
Time	0.99** (.04)	-	0.99**(.04)	-	-	-

Remark: DE= direct effect, IE= indirect effect, TE= total effect; \* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01; the value in parentheses is standard error of regression

**Table 3. The Differences in Quality of Life between Regular and Nonregular Physical Activity**

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
<b>Psychological well-being</b>					
Between Groups	1.500	1	1.500	11.772	0.001
Within Groups	62.184	488	0.127		
Total	63.684	489			
<b>Medical symptoms</b>					
Between Groups	9.660	1	9.660	21.383	0.000
Within Groups	220.456	488	0.452		
Total	230.116	489			
<b>Pro-social behavior</b>					
Between Groups	3.600	1	3.600	2.989	0.084
Within Groups	587.724	488	1.204		
Total	591.324	489			

Data analysis also shows that there is no correlation between age and physical activity patterns. After the age of 18 years, physical activity tends to stagnate until adulthood. It seems that this is related to the productive age, individuals who have entered the productive age will concentrate a lot on how they work and plan for the future. Much time is spent on economically motivated activities. As a result, the time to do physical activity is limited. This study also found that there was a significant correlation between frequency, intensity, and duration. The correlation between frequency and intensity was .48 with P<0.001. The correlation between frequency and duration of .53 with P<0.001. The

correlation between intensity and duration was .88 with P<0.001. These facts prove that these three things are interrelated in determining the level of physical activity. The strongest relationship occurs between intensity and duration.

Another finding from this study is that there is no difference in physical activity patterns between respondents who live in urban and suburban areas. This condition is understandable given that Surabaya, which has an area of around 350 km<sup>2</sup> and a population of 2.9 million, is all a city area, nothing rural. Public awareness of the importance of health, including physical activity, is relatively balanced along with equitable

development. This condition is certainly different from studies that have been done in previous years, which distinguishes between physical activity in urban and rural areas. The level of participation in physical activity in urban areas is relatively higher compared to rural areas.

Then, what is the theoretical relation model between physical activity and individual quality of life? The results of data analysis conducted by using SEM show that the theoretical model compiled fits the data, with chi-square of 8,259 and  $P=0.409$ , RMSEA of .008, NFI of 0.992, and

CFI of 1 (Figure 1). Variables that affect physical activity sequentially are contributed by the duration of physical activity, the intensity of physical activity, and the frequency of physical activity. The variables that affect the quality of life in a row are contributed by health factors, psychological well-being, and pro-social behavior (Table 2). There is a significant direct relationship between physical activity variables and quality of life, which is indicated by a regression coefficient of .35 with  $P=0.001$ .




Figure 1. The causal structure of physical activity and quality of life

Remarks: freq= frequency; intens= intensity; psy\_well= psychological well-being; medic= medical symptoms; social= pro-social behavior

Besides, there is a direct relationship between physical activity and the quality of life of individuals, in the test also found an indirect relationship between physical activity and health with a regression coefficient of .23 with  $P=0.001$ . There is an indirect relationship between physical activity and psychological well-being with a regression coefficient of .17 with  $P<0.05$ . There is an indirect relationship between physical activity and pro-social behavior with a regression coefficient of .07 with  $P<0.05$  (Table 2).

In addition to developing theoretical models, this study also examined the differences in the effect of regular and non-regular physical activities on quality of life (Table 3). The test results indicate that there is a significant difference in terms of psychological well-being between those who carry out regular and non-regular physical activities with an  $F$ -value of 11,772 at  $P=0.001$ . There is a significant difference in terms of medical symptoms between

those who do regular and non-regular physical activities with an  $F$ -value of 21,383 at  $P=0.000$ . Those who do regular physical activity, better psychological conditions and health. While for the pro-social behavior aspect, there is no significant difference between those who carry out physical activities on a regular and non-regular basis.

## DISCUSSION

In general, this research has provided empirical evidence of structural relationships between physical activity and the quality of life of individuals. The physical activity carried out regularly - frequency three times or more a week with each exercise 30' - will be able to improve the quality of life. From the psychological dimension, people who do regular physical activity are more optimistic in facing life. His life feels more valuable and useful, does not feel alienated, and generally feels more satisfied in his life. The results of this study are in line with what

has been done by several studies which state that sports activities have a positive impact on one's psychological well-being (6, 27, 28). This research has also proven that someone who is involved in physical activity is more resilient and able to cope with stressors from his environment (29, 30). This is in line with research conducted by other researchers who state that there is a positive relationship between involvement in sports and one's psychological condition (7). Those who were actively involved in sports activities showed a higher level of self-confidence than those who were not involved.

On the health dimension, as measured by medical symptoms and vitality, this study has also proven that those who do physical activity in decreases experience fewer health complaints than those who do not engage in physical activity. Health complaints in question include headaches, colds, insomnia, and if you experience pain for a long recovery time. Although in different contexts, these findings are at least in line with previous studies that prove that regular physical activity can reduce blood pressure for those who have a risk of high blood pressure (7, 31, 32). Similar research also shows that someone who doesn't exercise has twice the risk of developing cancer as someone who actively does sports (6, 16).

Physical activity is considered the most important step in improving health, not only for adults but for the entire population (33, 34). Lack of physical activity is a risk factor for chronic diseases such as diabetes, depression, cardiovascular disease, and stroke. If we are regularly involved in physical activity, and even in minimal quantities, it can reduce the risk of many diseases. Research conducted on 410 respondents aged 65-70 years in Serbia, Switzerland, and Greece shows that physical activity has a positive influence on the quality of life of the elderly, which contributes to health and interpersonal relationships (35).

On the dimension of social behavior, this study has not been able to prove the positive impact of physical activity on pro-social behavior. This finding is not too surprising, considering the motive of most people doing sports activities is to get health benefits or obtain a victory in the match. In other words, the sport has not been seen as a vehicle to instill values such as togetherness and respect for others (36, 37). Many studies were conducted on this problem, the results also show

inconsistent conclusions. For example, Van Yperen et al conducted a study of the effect of sports, especially competitive sports, on prosocial behavior. The results prove that children who participate in competitive sports activities show a decrease in their prosocial behavior (38, 39). Likewise, other research states that the maturity of an athlete's moral reasoning is lower than the maturity of moral reasoning of non-athletes at the same age level (40, 41). Meanwhile, other studies prove different things, as has been done by Donnelly et al & Horn. They stated that sports activities have a positive impact on the cooperative behavior of the individuals participating in them (42, 43).

In connection with these two different findings, a debate emerged, pro-social behavior is formed by itself (internalized) when people do sports activities or sports activities must be constructed in such a way as to shape the social behavior of the culprit. Related to this debate, the results of this study seem to support the opinion that participating in sports activities does not necessarily shape the social behavior of individuals, but what is considered to be social values must be organized, constructed, and transformed into the basic structure of individual reasoning who participated in it (44-46). What is encouraging about this study is that although sports activities do not partially affect the dimensions of social behavior when this dimension joins the psychological and health dimensions as a totality that shapes the concept of quality of life, the social behavior dimension becomes meaningful.

In the future, we hope that more research results will promote the excellence of physical activity in life. If necessary, research is carried out using a neuropsychology approach to be more convincing to the public. As an illustration, Yuki et al conducted an interesting study related to physical activity and brain development, namely whether daily physical activity can prevent the development of brain atrophy with age (47). The study subjects were 381 men and 393 women who had participated in a baseline survey and follow-up for 8.2 years. Measurements using Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in the frontal and temporal lobes were performed during the baseline survey and follow-up. Daily physical activity and total energy expenditure of participants are recorded. In male participants, the development of frontal lobe atrophy for the fifth

quartile compared with the first quartile in energy expenditure activity was 3,408 ( $P= 0.05$ ; 1,205-9,643) and for the number of steps was 3,651 ( $P= 0.05$ ; 1,304-10,219). Men and women with low total energy expenditure are at risk of frontal lobe atrophy. The study concluded that physical activity and total energy expenditure are significant predictors of the development of frontal lobe atrophy over 8 years. Therefore, promoting sports activities is beneficial to reduce age-related frontal lobe atrophy and to prevent dementia.

There is no "final word" to find the truth in research. Opportunities are always available for further research to perfect previous research findings. Although this study has succeeded in proving that physical activity has a positive impact on improving the quality of life, it is uncertain whether the improvement in quality of life occurs solely because the person concerned carries out physical activity or is influenced by other factors. Related to this problem, further research is expected.

### **CONCLUSION**

Based on the results of the analysis and interpretation of the data that has been described, the following conclusions can be formulated. First, the theoretical model that explains the structural relationship between physical activity and quality of life fits the data. Second, the group of respondents who do physical activity regularly has a better psychological condition compared to respondents who do not do physical activity. Third, the group of respondents who do physical activity regularly has better health conditions compared to respondents who do not do physical activity. Fourth, there is no significant difference in terms of pro-social behavior between groups of respondents who do physical activities regularly and groups of respondents who do not do physical activities. However, overall the group of respondents who did physical activity regularly had a better quality of life compared to the group of respondents who did not do physical activity.

### **REFERENCES**

1. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable Diseases Country Profiles 2018. World Health Organization 2018.
2. WHO. Time to deliver: Report of the WHO independent high-level commission on noncommunicable diseases. Geneva 2018.

### **APPLICABLE REMARKS**

In line with the results of this study, researchers recommend several things to the government, the community, and researchers. First, the government needs to provide adequate financial support for physical activities as a form of investment related to improving the quality of Indonesian human resources. This study has proven that physical activity carried out regularly can improve the quality of life of the culprit. Therefore, there needs to be a policy that encourages schools, government agencies, and non-governmental institutions, as well as the community to carry out physical activities regularly. Physical activity must be designed as an inexpensive activity so that the whole community can do it. In addition, program diversification needs to be done by taking into account demographic characteristics, local conditions, economic levels, and so on. Second, new awareness must be raised that physical activity is a necessity and therefore needs to be done regularly to fulfill it. The community has the right to receive sports services, including the availability of open space and sports human resources. If these rights have not been fulfilled, they need to be fought for.

Third, this research is *ex post facto*, in which the researcher does not give treatment to the subjects. So it cannot be ascertained that the positive impact on quality of life is solely as a result of physical activity undertaken by the subjects. Therefore, henceforth the same research needs to be done with an experimental approach. This research has succeeded in proving that physical activity has a positive impact on the psychological condition and health of the culprit, but at the same time has not succeeded in proving that sporting activities have a positive effect on social behavior. Related to this last thing, it needs to be studied further why it happened and is there something that is "wrong" in our sports. Research with a theme like this is still very rare to do, even though this needs to be done on a larger scale to provide empirical evidence as well as provide confidence to anyone, especially policymakers that sports development is an urgent matter.



3. WHO. Draft WHO global action plan on physical activity 2018 - 2030. Who.2017.
4. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas Eighth edition 2017. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 8th edn. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation 2017. Available from: <http://www.diabetesatlas.org>.
5. Wijayanti L. The impact of the increased premium policy on BPJS patients. *ISOQUANT J Ekon Manaj dan Akunt*. 2020.
6. Fuchs R. Physical Activity and Health. In: Wright J, editor. International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences. 2nd ed. Elsevier2015.
7. Huang CJ, Webb HE, Zourdos MC, Acevedo EO. Cardiovascular reactivity, stress, and physical activity. *Front Physiol*. 2013;4(314). doi: 10.3389/fphys.2013.00314
8. CDC. Facts about Physical Activity | Physical Activity | CDC. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.2014.
9. Indonesia Ministry of Health. Indonesia basic health research [Internet]. Agency of Health Research and Development 2013. Available from: <https://www.litbang.kemkes.go.id/laporan-riset-kesehatan-dasar-riskedas/>.
10. Laine J, Kuvaja-Kollner V, Pietila E, Koivuneva M, Valtonen H, Kankaanpaa E. Cost-effectiveness of population-level physical activity interventions: a systematic review. *Am J Health Promot*. 2014;29(2):71-80. doi: 10.4278/ajhp.131210-LIT-622 pmid: 25361461
11. Pratt M, Macera CA, Sallis JF, O'Donnell M, Frank LD. Economic interventions to promote physical activity: application of the SLOTH model. *Am J Prev Med*. 2004;27(3 Suppl):136-145. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2004.06.015 pmid: 15450624
12. Vuik S, Lerouge A, Guillemette Y, Feigl A, Aldea A. The economic burden of obesity.2019.
13. U.S. Departement of Health and Human Services. Preventing obesity and cronic diseases through good nutrition and physical activity [Internet]. 2003. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/publications/factsheets/prevention/obesity.htm>.
14. Fletcher GF, Blair SN, Blumenthal J, Caspersen C, Chaitman B, Epstein S, et al. Statement on exercise. Benefits and recommendations for physical activity programs for all Americans. A statement for health professionals by the Committee on Exercise and Cardiac Rehabilitation of the Council on Clinical Cardiology, American Heart association. *Circulation*. 1992;86(1):340-344. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.86.1.340 pmid: 1617788
15. Zhang J, Chaaban J. The economic cost of physical inactivity in China. *Prev Med*. 2013;56(1):75-78. doi: 10.1016/j.ypped.2012.11.010 pmid: 23200874
16. Booth FW, Roberts CK, Laye MJ. Lack of exercise is a major cause of chronic diseases. *Compr Physiol*. 2012;2(2):1143-1211. doi: 10.1002/cphy.c110025 pmid: 23798298
17. Gonzalez-Muniesa P, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Hu FB, Despres JP, Matsuzawa Y, Loos RJF, et al. Obesity. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2017;3:17034. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2017.34 pmid: 28617414
18. Zubala A, MacGillivray S, Frost H, Kroll T, Skelton DA, Gavine A, et al. Promotion of physical activity interventions for community dwelling older adults: A systematic review of reviews. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(7):e0180902. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180902 pmid: 28700754
19. Beuchat-Mamie S, Sperisen N, Molnar P, Kocer S. [Physical Activity and Cancer]. *Praxis (Bern 1994)*. 2018;107(17-18):965-970. doi: 10.1024/1661-8157/a003064 pmid: 30131034
20. Sun F, Norman IJ, While AE. Physical activity in older people: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13:449. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-449 pmid: 23648225
21. Billinger SA, Boyne P, Coughenour E, Dunning K, Mattlage A. Does aerobic exercise and the FITT principle fit into stroke recovery? *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep*. 2015;15(2):519. doi: 10.1007/s11910-014-0519-8 pmid: 25475494
22. O'Riordan C, Clifford A, Van De Ven P, Nelson J. Chronic neck pain and exercise interventions: frequency, intensity, time, and type principle. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2014;95(4):770-783. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2013.11.015 pmid: 24333741
23. Robinson JP, Shaver PR, Wrightsman LS. Measures of Personality and Social Psychological Attitudes.1991.
24. World Health Organization. Programme on mental health: WHOQOL User Manual. Report No.: WHO/MNH/MHP/98.4. 2012.

25. Zhou MB, D. Educational Learning Theories Educational Learning Theories. Education Open Textbooks.2015.
26. Laland KN, Rendell L. Social learning: Theory. In: Encyclopedia of Animal Behavior.2019.
27. Asztalos M, Wijndaele K, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Philippaerts R, Matton L, Duvinneaud N. Sport participation and stress among women and men. *Psychol Sport Exerc.* 2012. doi: [10.1016/j.psychsport.2012.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2012.01.003)
28. Wu XY, Han LH, Zhang JH, Luo S, Hu JW, Sun K. The influence of physical activity, sedentary behavior on health-related quality of life among the general population of children and adolescents: A systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2017;**12**(11):e0187668. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0187668](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187668) pmid: 29121640
29. WHO. "Sport for all" policies encourage physical activity. 2021. Available from: <https://www.euro.who.int>.
30. Brailovskaia J, Cosci F, Mansueto G, Miragall M, Herrero R, Banos RM, et al. The association between depression symptoms, psychological burden caused by Covid-19 and physical activity: An investigation in Germany, Italy, Russia, and Spain. *Psychiatry Res.* 2021;**295**:113596. doi: [10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113596](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113596) pmid: 33261924
31. Kasser SL, Zia A. Mediating Role of Resilience on Quality of Life in Individuals With Multiple Sclerosis: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2020;**101**(7):1152-1161. doi: [10.1016/j.apmr.2020.02.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2020.02.010) pmid: 32169458
32. Miragaya A. Lifelong Physical Activity for All Starting in Childhood through Inclusive Programmes of Physical Education and Sporting Activities - The Values-based Case of Brazil. *ICSSPE Bull.* 2017.
33. Irandoost K, Taheri M, Seghatoleslami A. The Effects of Weight Loss by Physical Activity and Diet on Depression Levels of Highly Depressed Elderly Females. *Salmand-Iran J Ageing.* 2015;**10**(1):48-53.
34. Irandoust K, Taheri M, Mirmoezzi M, H'Mida C, Chtourou H, Trabelsi K, et al. The Effect of Aquatic Exercise on Postural Mobility of Healthy Older Adults with Endomorphic Somatotype. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2019;**16**(22). doi: [10.3390/ijerph16224387](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16224387) pmid: 31717625
35. Samouilidu E, Pacic S, Nikić R, Eminović F. Physical activity and quality of life of older adults. In: Eminovic F, Dopsaj M, editors. Physical Activity Effects on the Anthropological Status of Children, Youth and Adults. Nova Science Pub Inc.2016.
36. Giulianotti R. The Sport for Development and Peace Sector: An Analysis of its Emergence, Key Institutions, and Social Possibilities. *Brown J World Aff.* 2012.
37. Kidd B. A new social movement: Sport for development and peace. *Sport Soc.* 2008. doi: [10.1080/17430430802019268](https://doi.org/10.1080/17430430802019268)
38. Rodica Mărășescu M. Athletes' involvement in violence and aggression within the context of sports competition. *Contemporar Reading Law Soc Justice.* 2013.
39. Van Yperen NW, Hamstra MRW, Van Der Klauw M. To Win, or Not to Lose, At Any Cost: The Impact of Achievement Goals on Cheating. *Br J Manag.* 2011. doi: [10.1111/j.1467-8551.2010.00702.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2010.00702.x)
40. Kavussanu M, Stanger N. Moral behavior in sport. *Curr Opin Psychol.* 2017;**16**:185-192. doi: [10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.05.010) pmid: 28813348
41. Moore C. Moral disengagement. *Current Opinion in Psychology.* 2015. doi: [10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.07.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.07.018)
42. Donnelly JE, Hillman CH, Castelli D, Etnier JL, Lee S, Tomporowski P, et al. Physical Activity, Fitness, Cognitive Function, and Academic Achievement in Children: A Systematic Review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2016;**48**(6):1197-1222. doi: [10.1249/MSS.0000000000000901](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000901) pmid: 27182986
43. Horn TS. Social Psychological and Developmental Perspectives on Early Sport Specialization. *Kinesiol Rev.* 2015. doi: [10.1123/kr.2015-0025](https://doi.org/10.1123/kr.2015-0025)
44. Von Glasersfeld E. A constructivist approach to teaching. In: Constructivism in education.1995.
45. Von Glasersfeld E. Cognition, construction of knowledge, and teaching. Synthese.1989.
46. Bredemeier BJ, Shields DL. Divergence in Moral Reasoning about Sport and Everyday Life. *Sociol Sport J.* 2016.
47. Yuki A, Lee S, Kim H, Kozakai R, Ando F, Shimokata H. Relationship between physical activity and brain atrophy progression. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2012;**44**(12):2362-2368. doi: [10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182667d1d](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182667d1d) pmid: 22776876