



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Construction of the Intellectual Competition Stress Scale for Handball Coaches

¹Fouad Muttib Hussain *

¹Team Games Department, College of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

*, Corresponding Author: Fouad Muttib Hussain; E-mail: fouad.hussein@cope.uobaghdad.edu.iq

Submitted March 15, 2025;
Accepted June 26, 2025.



KEYWORDS

*Competitive Behavior,
Behavior Rating Scale,
Mental Fatigue,
Self-Confidence,
Sport Psychology.*

ABSTRACT

Background. Mental stress during competition is a crucial psychological factor affecting the performance of both athletes and coaches. It influences focus, decision-making, and the ability to execute skills effectively. This stress often manifests as anxiety or pressure in high-stakes situations. Various psychological scales are used to measure mental stress in sports. **Objectives.** The study aims to construct an Intellectual Competition Stress (ICS) scale for handball coaches in Iraq. **Methods.** This study follows the Consensus-Based Selection of Health Measurement Instruments (COSMIN) methodological guidelines. The research sample consisted of 60 coaches, representing 70.5% of the total study population (85), with an exploratory sample of 4 coaches. A total of 50 statements were developed. Pentatonic scale was used for response collection (always, often, sometimes, rarely, and never), with the corresponding weights being 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. Chi-square was used to obtain the experts' agreement to analyze the experts' opinions statistically. In the first stage, a preliminary scale was developed. In the second stage, the scale underwent reliability and validity tests. The proposed fields and their theoretical definitions were then presented to 11 experts to determine the validity of the proposed fields with content validity index (CVI) (index1). Test and retest 0.77 and Cronbach's alpha coefficient 0.80 were calculated, indicating high reliability. The validity and reliability of responses were tested, and 11 experts reviewed the scale. A t-test for independent samples was conducted to assess discriminatory power. **Results.** The coaches' Intellectual Competition Stress index remained moderate (120.52 ± 18.01 , $P < 0.05$). **Conclusion.** The final version of the ICS scale, comprised 38 statements and was deemed a suitable tool for measuring intellectual competition stress among handball coaches.

INTRODUCTION

The development that occurs with great leaps in the sports field results from research and studies examining various sports fields. The coach is the team's centre of attention (1). He plays a vital role in both the training process of a sports team, by designing and implementing practice plans to develop skills, motivating players, and providing leadership to ensure everyone works together towards a common goal,

as well as guiding and leading the athletes to accomplish the goal. This necessitates that the coach has gained adequate experience and ability to withstand and bear the weight of each player's on-field errors, the assistant coaches' mistakes, and the competition's numerous variables, whether they pertain to offensive or defensive strategies. Coaches in elite sport should "ensure a balance between performance, high pressure and

well-being" (2). Stress plays a significant role in sports competitions. Mental stress is a form of stress that occurs because of how events in one's external or internal environment are perceived, resulting in the psychological experience of distress and anxiety (3). Different people in different or similar situations experience stress differently. Stress is defined as a condition in which an individual is aroused and anxious by an uncontrollable aversive challenge. It leads to a feeling of fear and/or anxiety (4). It is often accompanied by physiological responses (5). The literature shows that stress is induced chiefly in professions and activities related to a competitive environment (6, 7).

Since ICS affects the work environment and the trainer's brain, its impact on concentration in the many overlapping situations during handball competitions has increased its significance. This influences the coach's leadership and daily activities and may result in the coach's inability to perform his duties at the usual or critical level.

Sport fields contain many variables, including the training intensity and loads that make up the training process of preparing and building players, a training unit. Competitive stress is an ongoing transaction between an individual and the environmental demands directly associated with competitive performance. It is influenced by competitive stressors (environmental demands) and competitive strains (an individual's negative psychological, physical, and behavioral responses to competitive stressors). In many cases, it results in competitive anxiety—a negative emotional response to competitive stressors (8). In addition to the competition, there are many adverse effects on the psychology of the coach and the player. Nikola Foretića et al. state that handball coaches' experiences during a match were more stressful than training (9). Thotis concluded that stressors, such as negative events, traumas, or strains, can have damaging effects on physical and mental health (10). Coaches may struggle to handle the game situation if they do not adjust to their circumstances.

The coach is impacted by the players' needs and interests while communicating his message to alter the team's emotions and behaviour to reach the goal (11). Reaching a certain level of the ICS is one of those issues that the coach must be able to handle and get past. This study's importance lies in constructing a tool to measure ICS for Iraqi handball coaches.

The importance of conducting this current study lies in keeping pace with the recent changes in handball, as it builds a measure of intellectual competitive stress following the developments that have occurred in handball and increasing its variables, especially the updates to the game's rules, such as the time-out; which stress on the coach to make the appropriate decision and communicate it to the players within one minute. Also, the amendment increased the number of players on the team to seven players in the court after allowing the goalkeeper to substitute with a field player. This imposes a new tactic that the coach must confront defensively and develop in terms of his team's attack. Also, the addition of the circle in the middle of the field has greatly accelerated the pace of play and imposed additional stress to confront this rapid performance of the two teams, offensively and defensively. These are the justifications for conducting the current study by constructing a scale for ICS to determine the level of competitive stress after updating the game's rules.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design. This study follows the COnsensus-based Standards for selecting health Measurement INstruments (COSMIN) methodology guidance and has two phases. In the first phase, an item pool was formed through literature review, qualitative interviews, and group discussions. Two rounds were conducted to optimize items and develop an initial scale. Cognitive interviews were then conducted to understand the target population's comprehension of each item, addressing differences during the development process, resulting in the first scale version. In the second phase, the scale underwent reliability and validity testing, and the results were analyzed to assess the current status of the handball coaches.

The proposed fields were presented with the theoretical definition of each to 11 experts to obtain the validity of the proposed fields and exclude the fields that are not suitable. To analyze the experts' opinions statistically, the chi-square was used to obtain the agreement of the experts, as shown in Table 1.

Then, the items of the initial scale were formulated through cognitive interviews. The second phase focuses on testing the scale's reliability and validity, analyzing the questionnaire results, including item review and

improvement, and validating the scale in terms of content validity, construct validity, and reliability, in addition to descriptive statistical

analysis of the questionnaire data. Each phase will be briefly described in the following sections.

Table 1. Shows experts' opinions to obtain the validity of the proposed fields.

Fields	Experts opinion		Calculated chi value	Chi-tab value	Sig. level
	Agree	Disagree			
Stress and mental fatigue ^a	11	0	11	3.84	0.001
Thinking ^b	11	0	11		0.000
Training environment ^c	9	2	44.4		0.000
Attentional thinking ^d	9	2	54.0		0.612
Sense of responsibility ^e	10	1	36.7		0.003
Self-confidence ^f	9	2	4.44		0.000

a: A condition characterized by a decreased ability to maintain optimal cognitive performance, including attention, concentration, working memory, and decision-making, as a result of prolonged periods of intense or stressful mental activity (12); b: Internal processing or symbolic representation of events or things (13); c: A complex and dynamic set of social, cognitive, and behavioral influences that an athlete interacts with during training, which directly and indirectly affect their self-beliefs (especially self-efficacy), motivations, and behaviors, and thus their skill acquisition, development, and performance (14); d: Carron (2005) emphasizes the importance of "individual responsibility to the team" as a key component of optimal performance in his theory of group cohesion in sports teams; e: He argues that athletes who feel responsible are more committed to the team's goals and standards and more willing to put in the effort to achieve shared success (15); f: It reflects the degree of belief an individual has about their ability to succeed at a particular task or in specific situations (16).

The suggested scale was established using a descriptive methodology. The descriptive approach is suitable for the goal of this kind of study (17). Studying reality or the phenomena as it occurs in reality is the foundation of this scientific research methodology, the study focusses on accurately describing the phenomenon and expressing it either qualitatively that is, by describing the phenomenon and elucidating its features or quantitatively that is, by providing a numerical description that makes clear the magnitude or quantity of the phenomenon and the extent to which it is associated with other phenomena (18). The following was also done:

- KMO Measure of Sampling Adequacy: 0.82.
- Bartlett's Test of Sphericity: $\chi^2(10)=152.6$, $p<0.001$.
- Variance Explained: Two factors explain 71.5% of the total variance.
- Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
- Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Study Tools. The ICS scale initially contained 50 statements, categorized into five fields and assessed using a five-point Likert scale (Always, Often, Sometimes, Rarely, Never), with the corresponding weights being 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

Data Collection Procedures. The exploratory study was conducted on four coaches to ensure the clarity and relevance of scale items. Then, the survey was distributed, and participants responded to the ICS scale anonymously. Informed consent was obtained.

Training Protocol. No physical training intervention was included in this study, as it focused solely on psychological stress measurement.

Reliability Analysis. Test and retest 0.77, and Cronbach's alpha coefficient was calculated (0.80), indicating high reliability.

Comparative Analysis. To determine statistical significance, a t-test was conducted to compare arithmetic mean (AM) and theoretical mean (TM).

- o Discriminatory power of the ICS scale was tested using an independent sample t-test, analyzing the top 27% highest and 27% lowest scores.

- o The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to confirm that all final scale items corresponded to their respective fields.

Participants. The Participants consist of 60 coaches, who comprise 70.5% of the research community, which 85 handball coaches in Iraq defined, This number represents all active handball coaches included in the research. The rest of the research population had been out of training for a period and were therefore isolated. The exploratory sample was four coaches out of the

main sample, with a 4.70% percentage. The scale was tested on 6/5/2024 and repeated on 6/13/2024. It was done to get the appropriateness of the scale and the difficulties the sample and the researcher will face during implementation. Identify the time required to obtain sample responses and how to calculate the results.

All participants were informed about the study objectives and procedures and provided written informed consent. The details of the answers were explained, and it was emphasized that their answers should be accurate and appropriate to the situations that occur during sports competition only. They were also informed that their names should not be revealed to ensure they get the correct answer. This study strictly adhered to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Field Research Procedures.

Determining the ICS Scale. All scale phrases were divided into; 11 and 9 statements in the first and second fields, respectively, and 10 statements in the third, fourth, and fifth fields.. The statements were formulated in a simple, reliable, and accurate way in the assessment. All the phrases of the ICS scale were constructed in a positive direction (19).

Discriminatory Power. The ability of the scale to discern a substantial difference between the categorical scale's responses is known as discriminatory power (DP). The DP is the capacity to differentiate between people with higher and lower levels of the characteristic the test is measuring (20).

To extract the discrimination scale from 60 questionnaires. The response scores were initially arranged in descending order, ratio of 27% were used to extract the highest and lowest values of the sample for constructing the scale. This represents the best ratio through which we can obtain the highest discrimination coefficients (21). The ability of the candidate test to distinguish between distinct groups and non-distinct groups in the measured phenomenon is one of the criteria that must be taken into consideration when choosing tests with high validity, and it is one of the indicators of their standardization (22).

Internal Consistency. Internal consistency (IC), or what is called the correlation of the phrase with the total degree, is one of the accurate methods, Which knowing whether a situation or phrase of the scales is on the same path as the test or scale as a whole, The most important factor in the process of constructing the test is the correlation coefficient of the phrase with the total

degree (TD). The relationship between the phrase degree and TD of scale is one of the tools used in obtaining IC (23).

Scientific Coefficients of the Scale.

Honesty. Honesty of the scale depends on the honesty of the phrase that makes it up. It increases or decreases based on this. Therefore, preparing a valid phrase increases the honesty of the scale. To ensure the honesty of the scale, the scale was presented to a group of experts with the title of professor in sports psychology and handball. They agreed on the appropriateness of the phrases and the honesty of the scale for application.

Reliability. The degree to which a test assesses the actual amount of the attribute it is intended to measure, or the degree to which it is free from irregular errors that affect measurement, is known as test score reliability. Test results are considered dependable if a test measures a certain attribute consistently under various conditions that could result in measurement mistakes. Reliability in this context refers to measuring precision or consistency (24).

The scale's dependability was confirmed through test and retest, and the extraction correlation between the two tests yielded a value of 0.77. It is dependable and of high quality. The reliability coefficient that can be relied upon is between 0.60 and 0.93 (25). A second method of confirming reliability was to compute the reliability coefficient for the phrases using the Cronbach's alpha formula (26). The Cronbach's alpha formula is one of the most common methods used to measure how reliable a set of test items or scales is, as it is characterized by the possibility of trusting its results (24). This method depends on calculating the correlation between the scores of all the scale phrases, considering each phrase is a scale. The reliability coefficient indicates the consistency of the individuals' performance, i.e., the consistency of the scale phrases. This method provides the reliability coefficient's upper limit (27).

Statistical Analysis. A t-test is used for independent samples to assess discriminatory power by comparing the means of two groups. Cronbach's Alpha is used to measure reliability. This method depends on calculating the correlation between the scale phrases' scores. The Pearson correlation coefficient measures the relationship between each phrase with the total degree (TD) of scale; the relationship between each field's phrase and the TD of the same field to which it belongs;

and the relationship between each field's degree and the scale's TD. Data were analyzed using the SPSS 26.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). This scale was validated through exploratory experiments, expert reviews, and internal consistency checks to ensure reliability and validity in measuring competition stress among handball coaches in Iraq.

RESULTS

The values of the content validity index (CVI) retrieved and the discrimination coefficients were tested using the independent samples t-test; significant at the level ($P < 0.05$). All phrases were invalid except 3, 6, 9, 16, 19, 25, 42, 43, 45, 47, and 50. These 11 phrases were dropped from the study, as shown in [Table 2](#).

Table 2. Shows content validity index for the scale statement.

Q	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7	E8	E9	E10	E11	expert in Agreement	I-CVI	C	UA
q1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q3	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q6	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q9	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q14	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q16	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q19	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q20	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q22	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q23	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q24	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q25	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q26	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q27	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q28	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q30	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q31	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q32	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q33	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q34	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q35	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q36	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q37	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q38	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1

Q	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7	E8	E9	E10	E11	expert in Agreement	I-CVI	C	UA
q39	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q40	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q41	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q42	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q43	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q44	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q45	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q46	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q47	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	0.636	invalid	0
q48	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q49	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	valid	1
q50	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	7	0.636	invalid	0
Total	0.86	0.8	1	0.98	0.98	0.9	0.98	0.78	0.98	0.78	0.98				
S-CVI/ Average	0.912727273														

Q: Question; E: Expert; C: Category; Sum of S-CVI = 10; Sum of UA = 38; S-CVI/Average = 0.91; S-CVI/UA = 0.8; Experts rate each item on a 4-point scale (1 = Not relevant, 4 = Highly relevant). Items with scores of 3 or 4 are coded as "1" (relevant), and scores of 1 or 2 are coded as "0". I-CVI: Number of experts rating the item as relevant / Total number of experts; S-CVI: Average of all I-CVI scores; A value ≥ 0.78 for I-CVI and ≥ 0.90 for S-CVI is acceptable.

Through the use of Pearson's correlation coefficient (PCC) to calculate the relationship between each phrase and the TD of scale, it was

determined that all phrases were significant ($P < 0.05$), except phrase 24, which was not significant. The scale became 39 phrases as shown in [Table 3](#).

Table 3. Correlation coefficients between each phrase's degree and the scale's total degree.

No.	Correlation	Sig	No.	Correlation	Sig	No.	Correlation	sig	No.	Correlation	Sig
1	0.604	0.000	14	0.671	0.000	27	0.859	0.000	37	0.946	0.000
2	0.421	0.001	15	0.720	0.000	28	-0.361	0.005	38	-0.906	0.000
4	0.748	0.000	17	0.641	0.000	29	0.801	0.000	39	0.933	0.000
5	0.859	0.000	18	0.831	0.000	30	0.484	0.000	40	0.602	0.000
7	0.432	0.001	20	0.587	0.000	31	0.594	0.000	41	0.773	0.000
8	0.411	0.001	21	0.558	0.000	32	0.459	0.000	44	0.836	0.000
10	0.777	0.000	22	0.748	0.000	33	0.593	0.000	46	0.919	0.000
11	0.725	0.000	23	0.933	0.000	34	-0.640	0.000	48	-0.483	0.000
12	0.905	0.000	24	0.195	0.135	35	0.797	0.000	49	0.459	0.000
13	0.437	0.000	26	0.412	0.001	36	0.479	0.000			

To ensure that each phrase corresponds to the field to which it belongs, PCC was utilized to determine the relationship between each field's phrase and the TD of the same field to which it belongs. It was found that there was a significant association between each phrase's degree and the field's overall degree ($P < 0.05$), indicating that every phrase belongs to the field in which it was placed. [Table 4](#) displays the statistically significant results for the 38 phrases, demonstrating the scale's appropriateness and IC as shown in [Table 4](#).

PCC was used to confirm the association between each field's degree and overall degree, demonstrating the scale's greater comprehensiveness. All fields were confirmed to be statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) as shown in [Table 5](#).

The scale's reliability was confirmed through test and retest, and the extraction correlation between the two tests yielded a value of 0.77. It is dependable and of high quality. Also, using the Cronbach's alpha formula, the overall score for all phrases was 0.80, which was deemed satisfactory, as shown in [Table 6](#).

Table 4. The relationship between each field's phrase and its degree.

No.	Correlation	Sig	No.	Correlation	Sig	No.	Correlation	Sig	No.	Correlation	Sig
15	0.732	0.000	34	0.681	0.000	29	0.727	0.000	30	-0.805	0.000
48	0.516	0.000	1	0.769	0.000	38	0.616	0.000	39	0.896	0.000
32	0.783	0.000	17	0.638	0.000	26	0.481	0.000	23	0.831	0.000
5	0.862	0.000	7	0.876	0.000	31	0.689	0.000	44	0.683	0.000
18	0.547	0.000	12	0.644	0.000	4	0.443	0.000	28	0.743	0.000
8	0.426	0.001	41	0.645	0.000	10	0.720	0.000	2	-0.128	0.008
33	0.809	0.000	22	0.738	0.000	14	-0.479	0.000	36	0.570	0.000
11	0.807	0.000	40	0.851	0.000	35	0.790	0.000	21	0.576	0.000
20	0.905	0.000	27	0.777	0.000	49	0.503	0.000			
13	0.469	0.000	46	0.777	0.002	37	0.860	0.000			

Table 5. The correlation coefficients between each field and the total degree of the ICS scale.

No.	Fields	Correlation	Significant
1	SR	0.889	0.000
2	TE	0.957	0.000
3	S&MF	0.906	0.000
4	Th	0.870	0.000
5	SC	0.809	0.000

SR: Sense of responsibility; TE: Training environment; S&MF: Stress and mental fatigue; TH: Thinking; SC: Self-confidence.

Table 6. Shows the reliability coefficients of the five fields of ICS scales by the test and retest method.

Fields	SR	TE	S&MF	TH	SC
TTRC	0.79	0.82	0.77	0.69	0.80
CARC	0.79	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.80

SR: Sense of responsibility; TE: Training environment; S&MF: Stress and mental fatigue; TH: Thinking; SC: Self-confidence; TTRC: Test and retest reliability coefficient; CARC: Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient.

Distribute the ICS scale forms after fulfilling the scale's scientific standards. In addition to the fact that the highest degree on the scale was 190 and the lowest was 38, the coaches' degrees on the phrases were computed using the alternative degrees when using the ICS. The scale's theoretical mean (TM) was 114, which was obtained by averaging the answer alternatives.

The (TM) was calculated as follow: sum of alternative weights / number of alternative \times number of items (28). Also, Table 7 shows the most important statistical parameters of the intellectual competitive stress scale.

In Table 7 we can see summarized the most significant statistical characteristics, with the ICS scale's total degree (TD) being 190, the arithmetic mean (AM) being 120.52, the hypothetical mean (HM) being 114, the lowest degree falling between 87 and 114, the coefficient of skewness (COS) being -0.552, and the standard deviation (SD) being 18.006. The percentage law was used to obtain the relative efficiency of the sample, which reached 63.43%. The arithmetic mean of the differences (AMOD) was 6.517, standard error (SE) 0.309, while the t-value (T) was 2.803, and the error level (EL) was 2.325.

Table 7. Statistical parameters of the intellectual competitive stress scale.

Unit of measurement	TD	AM	SD	COS	HS	LS	HM
Degree	190	120.52	18.006	-0.552	144	87	114

TD: Total degree; AM: Arithmetic mean; SD: Standard deviation; COS: Coefficient of skewness; HS: Highest score; LS: Lowest score; HM: Hypothetical mean.

DISCUSSION

Table 7 showed that the arithmetic mean was 120.52 degrees with a standard deviation of 18.006, and the TM was 114. Since the arithmetic

mean (AM) is higher than the theoretical mean (TM), this indicates that the difference is significant at $P < 0.05$ and in favor of the AM for handball coaches. The t-test was used to determine

the significance of the differences between the arithmetic mean (AM) and the TM, and the results showed a statistically significant difference between the two means, amounting to 0.042 at $P < 0.05$. In normal circumstances, people have a distinctive 'sense of agency', or feeling of control, for events caused by their actions (29).

Our research findings showed that elite coaches experience different types of stress during their sporting careers. Norris et al. (2017) identified stressors related to athlete performance and injury, such as coach ability, athlete professionalism, attitude, commitment, and athlete injuries (30). In contrast, stressors related to personal coach performance included setting unrealistically high standards for themselves, communicating with others, making good decisions, self-criticism, organizational stress, administrative tasks, financial aspect, overload, competitive environment, and balancing training and competition—the sentence is missing something. The stressors and expectations related to performance and outcomes were as follows: stressors related to coaches' previous experiences, schedule, lack of resources, job security, age, coaching experience, and level of competition. Stressors related to personal experiences are social support, expectations from others (parents, media, employees), and relationships with athletes. Finally, stressors related to personal experiences, performance outcomes, and lack of control (31). In addition, there are stressors related explicitly to athlete and team management (e.g., commitment, conflicts, player selection, job insecurity, and relationships with organizational stakeholders). Among the many stressors identified, organizational stressors (e.g., increased workload, difficulty finding work-life balance, and quality of the work environment) have been identified as having a significant impact on coaches, as they are cumulative and chronic, leading to some long-term negative consequences (30). Some suggest that organizational stress is the most difficult to manage as coaches have less control over these stresses than others, such as personal stress (32). Our findings are consistent with those of Chloé Leprince (2024), who reported that, to date, studies have been largely limited to athletes and coaches or have often been overlooked (33). Given the complexity of their profession and recent findings regarding its impact on mental health and stress, further research is essential to help understand and clarify the causes and mechanisms that underpin

any deterioration. The results of these studies could then be used to inform more targeted and potentially more effective interventions to help promote coaches' mental health and well-being in the short, medium, and long term (34).

Results of this study also found that the self-confidence index (psychological stress) was within the average level, and this may be due to many reasons, the most important of which is that it is an indication that handball game contains in its variables much pressure that falls on the coach and thus is reflected in the sample response. The impact of psychological pressures is direct on the nature of the human brain, and adapting to the nature of the pressures that the individual is exposed to can lead to a malfunction in the brain function, but stress is often in the form of regret or loss of self-confidence (33). This effect was mainly attributed to either physiological arousal or psychological anxiety, both well-documented phenomena associated with a stronger psychological response to the anticipation of stressful situations (35, 36). The coach's interaction with the events of a difficult match differs from an easy match, as the difficulty of the match is reflected and leaves a psychological and emotional impact that increases psychological tension and thus the difficulty of adapting to the match situations. The emotional state of arousal is beneficial unless it induces anxiety (37). It is natural for the individual to feel fatigue after making a particular effort and reach a stage where he cannot regain his normal state (38).

The result of the study can be interpreted as these pressures taking two directions: the positive one, which supports the coach to solve the problems he faces in terms of defensive and offensive formations and strengthening them, in addition to the factor of experience that the coaches possess, as they are coaches in the Premier League and have a long history in the training process and have moved between more than one team, and before that they were assistants to other coaches or coaches of young age groups, as this was reflected in their acquisition of accumulated experiences. It led to their good handling of different situations. In addition, the handball game contains many interrelated variables, and the difficulty of performance led to the coaches' mastery of dealing with these situations; thus, the level of mental stress for competition did not rise to high levels, in addition to the decline in tension and anxiety.

Therefore, dealing with stress is of utmost importance to the success of coaches (39, 40).

The level of stress did not rise to high levels in this study. It is an indicator of the coaches' ability to adapt to the events of handball matches and their requirements, as leading the team in experimental matches and competition supports the coach's self-confidence. In addition to obtaining external or internal feedback, all of which is reflected in correcting errors and enhancing the successful decisions made, thus reducing the stress of competition. Individual-level factors have been found to help improve the well-being of elite coaches, including strong psychological skills, effective coping strategies, elite coach experience, and regular exercise. However, individual-level factors such as self-compassion and self-awareness can be improved to enhance coaches' well-being (41, 42).

The other direction to interpret pressure is negative, which affects the coach's performance as a result of the players' failure to implement the coach is given instructions, whether offensively or defensively, and causes coach's mental frustration, thus reducing the focus with the increase in the events of the match and its importance.

Analyses comparing psychological responses between competition and non-competition days revealed significantly higher ratings on competition days in several stress-related variables: external/internal tension stress, external/internal effort stress, felt arousal, preferred arousal, and unpleasant emotions (43).

Nikola Foretić et al. concluded that: 1) The handball match was more stressful than the training; 90 minutes was sufficient time for HR and Cortisol to establish normal dynamics, while alpha-amylase was still under the effect of the match stress. 2) The data from this study suggested that coaches are more anxious than frightened before and during matches. Hence, stress-coping strategies for handball coaches should be more focused on anxiety control and stress anticipation (9).

It is well known that stress is the individual's effort to control external and internal demands, and one of the components by which the individual interacts with the environment, and control through the perception of the demands and threats the coach faces (44). It should be noted that organizational and societal influences may pose a greater risk to the health of elite coaches due to these factors and their systematic nature.

Several correlates were found to lower psychological distress, including satisfaction with life balance (i.e., well-being), satisfaction with social support, and older age, whereas emotional exhaustion (44, 45).

Stressful workloads have been identified as a common stressor among elite coaches (46-48). Previous studies indicated that feelings of stress are affected by performance, internal and personal matters, self-presentation, and organizational matters (49, 50).

Lundkvist et al. reported that coaches experiencing burnout may adopt a passive style of leadership, where coaches tended to be quieter when addressing or interacting with their athletes (51). Furthermore, the complex and stressful environment in which elite coaches exist can lead to stress (52, 53). In addition, there are potentially significant health costs of the psychological stress that elite coaches will experience (51). So, coaches considerably influence the athletes' physical and psychological development (54). Their cultural and professional backgrounds are important (55, 56). In terms of a positive influence, supportive social interactions within the athletes' environment have the potential to enhance their performance and development (57). Negative social interactions with coaches (due to rejecting or neglecting behaviors) can hinder progress and result in a detrimental athletic experience (58).

The main limitation of this study is the small number of handball coaches in Iraq as a sample for this research, given the total number of coaches available. This limits the generalizability of the results. Future studies should include a larger number of coaches.

CONCLUSION

This study concluded that the scale revealed the proper level of ICS for elite handball coaches in Iraq. This is consistent with the results, which revealed that elite-level coaches generally reported moderate self-confidence levels of mental well-being. Stress is a highly personal phenomenon that varies between people depending on the individual's vulnerability and ability to withstand different tasks. The study also concluded that handball coaches in Iraq have demonstrated a good knowledge of what is due to their dealing with many match variables. The five fields were suitable for measuring ICS for handball coaches, and the phrases were suitable for the response time on the scale.

APPLICABLE REMARKS

- Continuous updating of the scale, to evaluate coaches periodically, to keep pace with the development of handball, and ensure its continued use and application in the Handball Federation's evaluation programs for coaches to determine the psychological and mental level and to support the training level for selecting national team coaches.
- Integrating artificial intelligence into designing scales and tests in scientific research. This step may help develop psychological scales that are different from the current reality and enhance the ability to obtain more accurate responses from the sample.
- Researchers in sports and physical education should consider integrating psychological tests with the help of AI into the practical and applied side of their work. This can provide valuable insights into the creative psychological abilities of athletes and help coaches lay strong foundations for psychological aspects in their training programs.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Study concept and design: Fouad Muttib Hussain. Acquisition of data: Fouad Muttib Hussain. Analysis and interpretation of data: Fouad Muttib Hussain. Drafting the manuscript: Fouad Muttib Hussain. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Fouad Muttib Hussain. Statistical analysis: Fouad Muttib Hussain. Administrative, technical, and material support: Fouad Muttib Hussain. Study supervision: Fouad Muttib Hussain.

REFERENCES

1. Abdulbari D, Musa M, Ibrahim J. Modern Management, Concepts and Operations: An Analytical Scientific Approach. 1st ed. Amman: Arab Center for Student Services; 1994.
2. Dohsten J, Barker R, N, Lindgren E-C. Caring as Sustainable Coaching in Elite Athletics: Benefits and Challenges. *Sports Coaching Review*. 2020;9(1):48-70. [doi:10.1080/21640629.2018.1558896]
3. Lazarus R, S. Folkman S. Stress, Appraisal and Coping. New York: Springer.1984.
4. Fink G, Stress: Concepts, Cognition, Emotion, and Behavior. Elsevier; Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Stress, Definitions, Mechanisms, and Effects Outlined: Lessons from Anxiety. 2016. pp. 3–11. [doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-800951-2.00001-7] [PMid:27087686]
5. Cacioppo J, T. Social Neuroscience: Autonomic, Neuroendocrine, and Immune Responses to Stress. *Psychophysiology*. 1994;31:113–128. [doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.1994.tb01032.x] [PMid:8153248]
6. Leis O, Lautenbach F. Psychological and physiological stress in non-competitive and competitive esports settings: A systematic review. *Psychology of sport and exercise*. 2020;51:101738. [doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2020.101738]

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author affirms that they have no relationships or financial interests that might appear to conflict with the work described in this paper.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

The author affirms that they have no relationships or financial interests that might appear to conflict with the work described in this paper.

FUNDING/SUPPORT

No financial or material support was received for the present study.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The Ethics Committee of the University of Baghdad/College of Physical Education and Sport Sciences reviewed and approved this study.

ROLE OF THE SPONSOR

There isn't any sponsor.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) USE

No AI was used to prepare the manuscript.

7. Eisenberg J, Thompson W,F. The Effects Of Competition On Improvisers Motivation, Stress, And Creative Performance. *Creat. Res. J.* 2011;23:129–136. [doi:10.1080/10400419.2011.571185]
8. Mellalieu S.,D, Hanton S, Fletcher D. A Competitive Anxiety Review: Recent Directions in Sport Psychology Research. Nova Science Publishers; Hauppauge, NY, USA: 2009.
9. Nikola F, Zoran N, Dora M, Goran G, Damir S., Damjan J, Patrik D, Stress Levels in Handball Coaching–Case Study: Preliminary Analysis of the Differences between Training and Match. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 2022;19(16). [doi:10.3390/ijerph191610251] [PMid:36011885]
10. Thoits P,A. Stress and Health: Major Findings and Policy Implications. *J. Health Soc. Behav.* 2010;51:S41–S53. [doi:10.1177/0022146510383499] [PMid:20943582]
11. Fouad MH. Constructing a competition communication skills scale for handball coaches in Iraq. *Ibero-American Journal of Exercise and Sports.* 2023;18(2):210-212.
12. Marcora S, M, Staiano W, Manning V. Mental Fatigue Impairs Physical Performance in Humans. *Journal of Applied Physiology.* 2009;106(3):857-864. [doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.91324.2008] [PMid:19131473]
13. Reed S, K. Cognition: Theories and Applications (8th ed.). Cengage Learning. 2010.
14. Bandura A. Social Learning Theory. Prentice Hall. 1977.
15. Carron A, V, Brawley L, R. Widmeyer W, N. Group Dynamics in Sport (3rd ed.). Fitness Information Technology. 2005.
16. Richard W, Robins J, T. Jessica M, L. The Self-Esteem Construct: A Historical and Conceptual Review. In C. R. Snyder & S. J. Lopez (Eds.), *Handbook of Positive Psychology* (pp. 3-21). Oxford University Press. 2001.
17. Thoqan O, Abdulrahman A, Kaied A. Scientific Research, Its Concept, Tools and Methods. 4th ed. Amman: Dar Al Fikr; 2002.
18. Thoqan O. Scientific Modern Research. Amman: Dar Al Fikr; 1999.
19. Fouad MH. Construction of emotional intelligence scale for handball coaches in Iraq. *Ibero-American Journal of Exercise and Sports Psychology.* 2023;18(2):150-152.
20. Mustafa M, Anwar H, Sabah H. Evaluation and Measurement. Baghdad: Dar Al-Hikma; 1990.
21. Soolaf H, Faris Sh. Efficiency level of administrative performance of managers of athletic talent in terms of working employees. *Journal of Physical Education.* 2023;35(4). [doi:10.37359/JOPE.V35(4)2023.1937]
22. Faris S, Mohanad A, Abdullah I. Designing and standardizing motor balance in first-year students of physical education and sport sciences college. *Journal of Physical Education.* 2017;29(2):68-83.
23. Saad AR. Psychological Measurement. Kuwait: Al Falah Press; 1983.
24. Salah AA. Educational and Psychological Measurement and Evaluation. 10th ed. Cairo: Dar Al Fikr Al Arabi; 2000.
25. Abulibda S. Principles of Psychological Measurement and Educational Evaluation. Amman: Cooperative Printing Press Association; 1985.
26. Gliem J, Gliem R. Calculating, interpreting, and reporting Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient for Likert-type scale. Midwest Research-to-Practice Conference in Adult, Continuing, and Community Education; 2003 Oct 8-10; Columbus, OH: The Ohio State University.
27. Whaib M. Psychological Measurement Between Theory and Application. Lebanon: United World; 2010.
28. Muhammad JY. Principles of Educational Statistics. Al-Najaf Al-Ashraf: Dar Al-Diaa; 2010.
29. Synofzik M, Vosgerau G, Newen A. Beyond the comparator model: a multifactorial two-step account of agency. *Consciousness and cognition.* 2008;17(1):219-39. [doi:10.1016/j.concog.2007.03.010] [PMid:17482480]
30. Norris LA, Didymus FF, Kaiseler M. Stressors, coping, and well-being among sports coaches: A systematic review. *Psychol Sport Exerc.* 2017;33:93-112. [doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.08.005]
31. Essel G, Owusu P. Causes of student stress and its effects on their academic success and stress management by students. University of Applied Sciences, Finland; 2017. p.15.
32. Kegelers J, Wylleman P, van Bree IBN, Wessels F, Oudejans RR. Mental health in elite-level coaches: Prevalence rates and associated impact of coach stressors and psychological resilience. *Int Sport Coach J.* 2021;8(3):338-47. [doi:10.1123/iscj.2020-0066]
33. Leprince C, Maurin M, Carling C. Consideration of mental health and well-being in high-level sport: When will a coach-centred approach be introduced? *Sports Med.* 2024;54(9):2233-41. [doi:10.1007/s40279-024-02044-x] [PMid:38811435]

34. Baldock L, Cropley B, Neil R, Mellalieu SD. Stress and mental well-being experiences of professional football coaches. *Sport Psychol.* 2021;35(2):108-22. [doi:10.1123/tsp.2020-0087]
35. Sewell DF, Edmondson AM. Relationships between field position and pre-match competitive state anxiety in soccer and field hockey. *Int J Sport Psychol.* 1996;27:159-72.
36. Kant S. Relaxation as related to arousal and performance in handball. *Int J Phys Educ Sports.* 2017;2:71-73.
37. Mamassis G, Doganis G. The effects of a mental training program on juniors' pre-competitive anxiety, self-confidence, and tennis performance. *J Appl Sport Psychol.* 2004;16:118-37. [doi:10.1080/10413200490437903]
38. Preston SD, Buchanan TW, Stansfield RB, Bechara A. Effects of anticipatory stress on decision making in a gambling task. *Behav Neurosci.* 2007;121:257-63. [doi:10.1037/0735-7044.121.2.257] [PMid:17469915]
39. Qais F. Intellectual stress and its relationship to some personal characteristics of graduate students. Baghdad: College of Education, Al-Mustansiriyah University; 2012.
40. Eysenck MW, Derakshan N, Santos R, Calvo MG. Anxiety and cognitive performance: Attentional control theory. *Emotion.* 2007;7:336. [doi:10.1037/1528-3542.7.2.336] [PMid:17516812]
41. Boyce WT, Ellis BJ. Biological sensitivity to context: I. An evolutionary-developmental theory of the origins and functions of stress reactivity. *Dev Psychopathol.* 2005;17:271-301. [doi:10.1017/S0954579405050145] [PMid:16761546]
42. Starcke K, Wolf OT, Markowitsch HJ, Brand M. Anticipatory stress influences decision making under explicit risk conditions. *Behav Neurosci.* 2008;122:1352-60. [doi:10.1037/a0013281] [PMid:19045954]
43. Hudson J, Davison G, Robinson P. Psychophysiological and stress responses to competition in team sport coaches: An exploratory study. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports.* 2013;23(5):e279-85. [doi:10.1111/sms.12075] [PMid:23662710]
44. Engert V, Efanov SI, Duchesne A, Vogel S, Corbo V, Pruessner JC. Differentiating anticipatory from reactive cortisol responses to psychosocial stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2013;38:1328-37. [doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.11.018] [PMid:23246327]
45. Pilkington V, Rice S, Walton C, Gwyther K, Olive L, Butterworth M, et al. Prevalence and Correlates of Mental Health Symptoms and Well-Being Among Elite Sport Coaches and High-Performance Support Staff. *Sports Med Open.* 2022;8(1):89. [doi:10.1186/s40798-022-00479-y] [PMid:35792942]
46. Ruddock S, Ruddock-Hudson M, Rahimi-Golkhandan S. The Impact of Job-Burnout on Australian Football League Coaches: Mental Health and Well-Being. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2017;20:S73-4. [doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2017.09.341]
47. Ruddock S, Ruddock-Hudson M, Rahimi-Golkhandan S. Examining Within-Season Change of Job-Burnout and Psychological Distress for Australian Rules Football Coaches. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2018;21:S41-2. [doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2018.09.096]
48. Rhind DJ, Scott M, Fletcher D. Organizational stress in professional soccer coaches. *Int J Sport Psychol.* 2013;44(1):1-16.
49. Noblet AJ, Gifford SM. The sources of stress experienced by professional Australian footballers. *J Appl Sport Psychol.* 2002;14:1-13. [doi:10.1080/10413200209339007]
50. Holt NL, Hogg JM. Perceptions of stress and coping during preparations for the 1999 women's soccer World Cup finals. *Sport Psychol.* 2002;16:251-71. [doi:10.1123/tsp.16.3.251]
51. Lundkvist E, Gustafsson H, Hjälm S, Hassmén P. An interpretative phenomenological analysis of burnout and recovery in elite soccer coaches. *Qual Res Sport Exerc Health.* 2012;4(3):400-19. [doi:10.1080/2159676X.2012.693526]
52. Fletcher D, Scott M. Psychological stress in sports coaches: A review of concepts, research, and practice. *J Sports Sci.* 2010;28:127-37. [doi:10.1080/02640410903406208] [PMid:20035490]
53. Baker J, Côté J, Hawes R. The relationship between coaching behaviours and sport anxiety in athletes. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2000;3:110-19. [doi:10.1016/S1440-2440(00)80073-0] [PMid:11104303]
54. Joshua F, Courtney C, Rosemary P, Krist F, Kate J, et al. The mental health of elite-level coaches: A systematic scoping review. *Sports Med Open.* 2024;10:16. [doi:10.1186/s40798-023-00655-8] [PMid:38341831]

- 55.Short SE, Short MW. Essay: Role of the coach in the coach-athlete relationship. *The Lancet*. 2005;366:S29-30. [[doi:10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)67836-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67836-1)] [[PMid:16360740](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16360740/)]
- 56.Gustafsson H, DeFreese J, D. Madigan D, J. Athlete Burnout: Review and Recommendations. *Current Opinion in Psychology*. 2017;16:109-113. [[doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.05.002)] [[PMid:28813331](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28813331/)]
- 57.Barcza-Renner K, Eklund R, C. Morin A, J. Habeeb C, M. Controlling coaching behaviors and athlete burnout: Investigating the mediating roles of perfectionism and motivation. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. 2016;38(1):30-44. [[doi:10.1123/jsep.2015-0059](https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.2015-0059)] [[PMid:27018556](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27018556/)]
- 58.Bianco, T, Eklund R, C. Conceptual Considerations for Social Support Research in Sport and Exercise Settings: The Case of Sport Injury. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. 2001;23(2):85-107. [[doi:10.1123/jsep.23.2.85](https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.23.2.85)]